vpo- pali, distt. mohindergarh-12302(haryana)
NAAC accredited , recognized by NCTE& affiliated to IGU MEERPUR(REWARI)

Email: skcepali@gmail.com, Phone N0 .01285-240310

ALUMNI REGISTRATION FORM

Name .					
Date of birth:					
Gender:	MALE / FEMALE				
Degree:					
Year of passing					
Mobile no:					
E-mail ID:					
Residential Address:					
Details of Higher Studies, if applicable:					
Course Name:					
Work Information	:				
Employer:					
Job designation:					
Office phone no:	email:				
Own work:					

Signature

vpo- pali, distt. mohindergarh-12302(haryana)


NAAC accredited, recognized by NCTE& affiliated to IGU MEERPUR(REWARI)

Email: skcepali@gmail.com, Phone NO .01285-240310

STUDENT FEED BACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme: B.Ed. Year:

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Course-I

			ъ		D
	.	A	В	C	D
Parameters		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any				
2.	Extent of coverage of course				
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations				
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material				
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)				
7.	Extent of effort required by students				
8.	Overall rating				

vpo- pali, distt. mohindergarh-12302(haryana)
NAAC accredited , recognized by NCTE& affiliated to IGU MEERPUR(REWARI)

Email: skcepali@gmail.com, Phone NO .01285-240310

Student Feedback on Teachers

Department: B.Ed. Year:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale show



Name of the Teacher:

	Parameters		В	С	D
			Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)				
2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)				
3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher				
4.	Interest generated by the teacher				
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective				
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses				
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)				
8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests / assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course				
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback				
10.	Overall rating				

vpo- pali, distt. mohindergarh-12302(haryana)
NAAC accredited , recognized by NCTE& affiliated to IGU MEERPUR(REWARI)

Email: skcepali@gmail.com, Phone N0 .01285-240310

STUDENT SATISFACTORY SURVEY

Department : B.Ed.				Course : B.Ed.			
Teacher :				Year :			
Th	e inf	formation will be used only for th	e im	approvement of the course and teaching in future.			
1. The syllabus of each course was							
	a)	adequate	b)	inadequate			
	c)	challenging	d)	dull			
2. Background for benefiting from the course was			rse was				
	a)	more than adequate	b)	adequate			
	c)	inadequate	d) (cannot say			
3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?			rstand?				
	a)	easy	b)	manageable			
	c)	difficult	d)	very difficult			
4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?				n the class?			
	a)	85 to 100%	b)	70 to 85%			
	c)	55 to 70%	d)	less than 55%			
5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?			material and facilities for the course?				
	a)	more than adequate	b)	adequate			
	c)	inadequate	d)	very poor			
6.	То	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?					
	a)	Easily	b)	with some difficulty			
	c)	not available at all	d)	with great difficulty			
7.	Но	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?					
	a)	thoroughly	b)	satisfactorily			
	c)	poorly	d)	indifferently			
8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?			unicate?				
	a)	Always effective	b)	sometimes effective			
C	c)	Just satisfactorily	d)	generally ineffective			
9. How far the teacher encourages stu			dent	participation in class?			
	a)	mostly yes	b)	sometimes			
	c)	not at all	d)	always			

10.	O. If yes, which of the following methods were used?				
	a) Encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class				
	c)	encourage discussion outside cl	ass	d) did not encourage	
11.	Ho	w helpful was the teacher in adv	isin	g?	
	a)	Very helpful	b)	sometimes helpful	
	c)	not at all helpful	d)	did not advise	
12.	The	e teacher's approach can best be o	desc	cribed as	
	a)	Always courteous	b)	sometimes rude	
	c)	always indifferent	d)	cannot say	
13.	Inte	ernal assessment was			
		Always fair	b)	sometimes unfair	
	•	Usually unfair	,	sometimes fair	
11	,	, and the second	,		
14.		·		ssessment will have on your course grade?	
	,	Helps to improve no special effect	d)	discouraging sometimes effective	
	٠,	no op com circo.	<i>(1)</i>	0011011100 01200110	
15.	Но	w often did the teacher provide t	feed	back on your performance?	
	a)	Regularly/in time	b)	with helpful comment	
	c) o	ften/ late	d)	without any comments	
16. Were your assignments discussed with you?					
	a)	Yes, fully	b)	yes, partly	
	c)	not discussed at all	,	sometimes discussed	
17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?					
	a)	Yes	b)		
	If yes, was it helpful?				
	a)	Yes	b)	no	
18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.					